	Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document	15 5	Filed04/16/13	Page1 of 0	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EIMER STAHL LLP NATHAN P. EIMER (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Email: neimer@eimerstahl.com VANESSA G. JACOBSEN (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Email: vjacobsen@eimerstahl.com DANIEL D. BIRK (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Email: dbirk@eimerstahl.com SARAH E. MALKERSON (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Email: smalkerson@eimerstahl.com 224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100 Chicago, IL 60604-2516 Telephone: (312) 660-7600 Fax: (312) 692-1718 <i>Attorneys for Defendant Land O'Lakes, Inc.</i> [Additional Counsel Appear on Signature Page]				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13	SAN FRANC	CISCO	DIVISION		
14		l			
15	MATTHEW EDWARDS, GEORGIA	C	CASE NO.: 3:11-	CV-04766-JSW	
16	BROWNE, and TORAH MONTESSORI SCHOOL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	[consolidated with 11-cv-04791-JSW			
17	Plaintiffs,		and 11-cv-0525	3-J8W]	
18		<u>C</u>	CLASS ACTION		
19 20	v. NATIONAL MILK PRODUCERS FEDERATION aka COOPERATIVES WORKING TOGETHER; DAIRY FARMERS OF AMERICA, INC.; LAND O'LAKES, INC.; DAIRYLEA COOPERATIVE INC.; and AGRI-MARK, INC.,	fi C	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE THE USE OF PREDICTIVE CODING TECHNOLOGY		
21): September 26, 2011	
22		A	AUTION FILED	. September 20, 2011	
23	Defendants.				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	JOINT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE THE USE OF PREDICT CASE NO.: 3:11-CV-04766-JSW	TVE COD	DING TECHNOLOGY		

Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document155 Filed04/16/13 Page2 of 0

Plaintiffs and Defendant Land O'Lakes, Inc. (collectively "the Parties" and each, individually, a Party) hereby stipulate, agree, and propose as follows:

I.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

DEFINITIONS

A. "Document review corpus" refers to the body of documents remaining after the exclusion of known system files, incompatible file types (*e.g.*, database files), duplicates, and documents that fall outside the agreed date range.

B. "Confidence level" means the statistical reliability of a result and in this instance refers to the likelihood that a measurement reached through sampling is accurate.

C. "Estimation interval" refers to the statistical error rate of a measured confidence level.

11

II. PROTOCOL

The Parties have discussed the methodologies or protocols for the search and review of documents collected from Land O'Lakes and have agreed that Land O' Lakes will utilize Recommind's Axcelerate software for its review workflow and production in this case. Axcelerate incorporates predictive coding (also known as technology-assisted review) functionality, which Land O'Lakes will leverage for a more cost efficient and higher quality review. The Parties agree that the following protocol will be followed to identify potentially responsive documents for review:

<u>Step One – Document Collection</u>: Land O'Lakes will collect documents in the
possession, custody, or control of each custodian agreed upon by the Parties and in additional
locations under the possession, custody, or control of Land O'Lakes (such as shared drives or
departmental files) immediately upon entry of this order and isolate the document review corpus.

22 2. <u>Step Two – Control Set</u>: After loading the document review corpus into Axcelerate,
23 Land O'Lakes will generate an initial control set of documents. The control set will be a random,
24 statistically valid sampling of documents to estimate the number of responsive documents in the
25 corpus. The control set sample shall be determined using a 95% confidence level and 2% estimation
26 interval. The control set sample will then be reviewed for responsiveness and privilege, and Land
27 O'Lakes will provide Plaintiffs with a tracking report of the results of the control set generation and
28 review. At any point during the predictive coding process, Land O'Lakes' e-discovery liaison will

1

Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document155 Filed04/16/13 Page3 of 0

meet and confer at Plaintiffs' request to discuss the results and method of the process. Land O'Lakes
agrees to confer in good faith regarding the incorporation of any input from Plaintiffs on the
application process.

3. <u>Step Three – Seed Set and Initial Training</u>: All responsive documents identified during review of the control set will be included in an initial seed set, which will be used to train Axcelerate to identify other potentially responsive documents in the document review corpus. The seed set also will include responsive documents identified by Land O'Lakes through the use of search and analytical tools, which will include the following:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- a) Approximately 400 documents already identified as responsive by Land O'Lakes;
- b) The 500 most highly ranked¹ responsive documents hit upon by an application of the Boolean search terms selected by Land O'Lakes (listed in Appendix A), excluding documents already contained in (a), above; and
 - c) The 500 most highly ranked responsive documents hit upon by application of Boolean search terms selected by Plaintiffs (listed in Appendix A), excluding documents already contained in (a) and (b), above.

Land O'Lakes will provide Plaintiffs with a search hit count of all searches conducted and will produce all non-privileged documents included in the seed set.

4. <u>Step Four – Iterative Review and Further Training</u>: Once Axcelerate has identified and prioritized potentially responsive documents based on the initial training, Land O'Lakes will review a sample set of those documents for responsiveness. The sample set will consist of at least the 500 documents ranked most highly by Axcelerate in order of relevance. After this second round of review, the system will be trained again based on reviewer feedback to identify and prioritize more potentially responsive documents. Land O'Lakes will provide Plaintiffs with tracking data for this round of review.

- 5. <u>Step Five Review</u>: Land O'Lakes will then conduct a manual review of all documents identified by Axcelerate as potentially responsive. During this review, the iterative
- 28

2

¹ Axcelerate ranks returned documents in order of responsiveness to search terms.

Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document155 Filed04/16/13 Page4 of 0

process will continue; as more responsive documents are reviewed and coded, they will be used to further hone the system's ability to identify and prioritize other potentially responsive documents among the remaining, unreviewed portion of the document review corpus. Newly identified documents also will be subject to manual review. Review and iterative training will proceed until all identified documents have been reviewed and the system indicates that the remainder of the document review corpus is not likely to be responsive. Land O'Lakes will continue to provide tracking data to Plaintiffs, and all responsive, non-privileged documents will be produced.

Step Six – Validation: After Land O'Lakes has conducted enough rounds of 6. 8 9 iterative review and training to generate a conclusion from Axcelerate that the remaining documents in the document review corpus are not likely to be responsive, Land O'Lakes will perform a 10 validation test by reviewing a statistically valid and random sampling of unreviewed documents to 11 confirm that the number of potentially responsive documents in the unreviewed corpus is statistically 12 insignificant. Using a 99% confidence level and a 1% estimation interval, a random sampling of the 13 unreviewed documents will be reviewed for responsiveness. If the number of responsive documents 14 in the validation sampling results in higher than a 1% responsiveness rate, the review process will 15 continue until the validation test achieves a 1% or less responsiveness rate. All tracking data 16 regarding the validation process will be provided to the plaintiffs, and any responsive, non-privileged 17 documents identified during the process will be produced. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE TO THE ABOVE TERMS.

3

DATED: April 16, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By:/s/ Matthew S. Kahn George A. Nicoud (SBN 106111) Matthew S. Kahn (SBN 261679) GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-2933 Telephone: (415) 393-8200 Fax: (415) 393-8200 tnicoud@gibsondunn.com mkahn@gibsondunn.com

By:/s/ Nathan P. Eimer Nathan P. Eimer (pro hac vice) Vanessa G. Jacobsen (pro hac vice)

	Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document158 Filed04/10/13 Page5 of 0			
1	Daniel D. Birk (pro hac vice)			
2	Sarah E. Malkerson <i>(pro hac vice)</i> EIMER STAHL LLP			
3	224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60604			
4	Phone: (312) 660-7601 Fax: (312) 692-1718			
5	neimer@eimerstahl.com vjacobsen@eimerstahl.com			
6	dbirk@eimerstahl.com smalkerson@eimerstahl.com			
7	Attorneys for Defendant Land O' Lakes, Inc.			
8	By: <u>/s/ Elaine T. Byszewski</u>			
9	Elaine T. Byszewski HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 301 North Lake Avenue, Suite 203			
10	Pasadena, CA 91101 Telephone (213) 330-7150			
11	Facsimile (213) 330-7152 elaine@hbsslaw.com			
12	Steve W. Berman			
13	George W. Sampson Craig R. Spiegel			
14	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300			
15	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone (206) 623-7292			
16	Facsimile (206) 623-0594 steve@hbsslaw.com			
17	george@hbsslaw.com craig@hbsslaw.com			
18	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs			
19				
20	ATTESTATION: I, Matthew S. Kahn, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been			
21	obtained from each of the other signatories.			
22				
23	[PROPOSED] ORDER			
24 25	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
25 26	Dated: April 17, 2013			
26 27	HOMORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE			
27	UNTID STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
20				
	4 Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order Re The Use Of Predictive Coding Technology Case No.: 3:11-CV-04766-JSW			

	Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document158 Filed04/10/13 Page6 of 0
1	<u>Appendix A: Search Terms</u>
2	Land O'Lakes' Search Terms
3	"cooperatives working together" nmpf OR "national milk producers federation"
4	herd /10 retir* cow /10 retir*
5	cwt AND retir* "self help"
6	"herd buyout"
7	"milk diversion program" cwt AND beef
8	cwt /5 program export /10 assistance
9	cwt /5 ambassador cwt AND (independent /5 producer)
	cwt AND (independent /5 farmer) cwt AND (target /10 remov*)
10	cwt AND cull*
11	cwt AND slaughter* cwt AND "field staff"
12	cwt AND assessment cwt AND delegate
13	cwt AND (milk /5 suppl*) cwt AND (price /10 increas*)
14	cwt AND contribut*
15	cooperative /10 individual cwt AND (cow /5 numbers)
16	cwt AND "farm id" cents /5 hundredweight
17	amount /10 checks cwt AND bid*
18	cwt AND "leadership council" cwt /10 member*
	cwt /10 summary
19	cwt AND presentation
20	<u>Plaintiffs' Search Terms</u>
21	buyout reentry
22	re-entry reenter
23	re-enter
24	rejoin re-join
25	join /10 again price /10 increase
26	price /10 rise price /10 raise
	price /10 hike price /10 stabiliz*
27	price /10 maintain
28	price /10 maintenance
	5 Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order Re The Use Of Predictive Coding Technology
	VOINT STIL CEATION AND I KOLOSED ONDER RETTILE USE OF I REDICTIVE CODING TECHNOLOGI